

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/580,863	OBAE ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Ganapathy Krishnan	1623	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.

(3) ____.

(2) Mr. Mark Henry.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 6 April 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 10 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

see continuation sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ganapathy Krishnan/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Informed the applicant that:

1. The terms "non-reducing sugar residue" in claim 10 should be replaced by the terms "fructosyl group"
2. The limitation regarding the specific enzyme recited in claim 25 should be inserted in claim 24 and claim 25 should be cancelled.

The above proposed amendments would put the pending claims in a condition for allowance and sought permission for an Examiner's amendment.

Applicants agreed to the proposed amendments above and authorized an Examiner's amendment.